Saturday, November 15, 2025
UNM Student Union Building Albuquerque, NM

Poster Judging Rubric

Criteria	1	2	3	4	5	Score
1 Hypothesis/ Problem Statement	*- No project goal is stated - Missing hypothesis or hypothesis poorly presented - No background*		*- Project goal not clear - Questionable hypothesis or not well presented - Limited background*		*- Project goal stated including relevance of the work - Logically and clearly presented hypothesis - Relevant background*	
2 Methods	*- Methods lacking*		*- No or little comment on why methods chosen - Adequate discussion of rigor & reproducibility relevant to the type of research - If relevant, some significant controls or comparisons missing*		*- Solid explanation of why methods chosen - Clear discussion of controls if relevant - Discussion of rigor and reproducibility relevant to methodologies*	
3 Results	*- Results not yet available or reproducible*		*- Adequate amount of high quality data - Data address the hypothesis*		*- Substantial amounts of high quality data - All data address the hypothesis*	
4 Conclusions/ Future Work	*- Conclusions not presented - Conclusions do no link to hypothesis - Conclusions do not link to background presented in introduction*		*- Reasonable conclusion presented - Conclusions not compared to hypothesis - Relevance of conclusions not discussed*		*- Reasonable conclusions given; strong supporting evidence - Conclusions compared to hypothesis - Conclusions relate to background*	

5 Citations	*- No citations*		*- Citations present but limited number*		*- Substantial list of citations showing evidence of relevant background*	
6 Figures (charts, graphs, pictures)	*- Not present or illegible - Irrelevant*		*- Data presentation not clear - Figures & tables not always relevant - Figures and tables not consistently constructed*		*- Data presentation was clear, concise & thorough - Figures and tables are consistently constructed and presented*	
7 Poster Design	*- Poor layout - Text is hard to read - Errors and evidence of lack of proofreading*		*- Layout is inconsistent - Text is relatively clear, occasional errors*		*- Layout is easy to follow - Text is clear & virtually free of errors*	
8 Oral Presentation	*- Not present at poster*		*- presentation acceptable - some problems (speaking too softly, jargon, no eye contact)*		*- presenter was confident & professional - clearly conveyed research*	
9 Ability to Answer Questions	*- Not present at poster*		*- answered questions with some hesitation or inaccuracy*		*- handled all questions confidently & accurately - demonstrated strategies for addressing questions to which they did not know answer*	